

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

JACKIE CARTER,

Plaintiff,

v.

SANDRA MCARDLE and
JOLINDA WATERMAN,

Defendants.

ORDER

19-cv-57-wmc

JACKIE CARTER,

Plaintiff,

v.

SANDRA MCARDLE, JOLINDA
WATERMAN and GARY BOUGHTON,

Defendants.

19-cv-367-wmc

JACKIE CARTER,

Plaintiff,

v.

SANDRA MCARDLE and JOLINDA
WATERMAN,

Defendants.

19-cv-384-wmc

Pending the court's recruitment of pro bono counsel, plaintiff Jackie Carter filed a motion for a stay of his four 2019 cases: Nos. 19-cv-057, 19-cv-367, 19-cv-384 and 19-cv-397. ('057 dkt. #88.) As an initial note, the court previously denied him leave to proceed on the claim raised in the 19-cv-397 case, finding it duplicative of the claims raised in the 19-cv-057 case. That case is closed, so the motion is MOOT without articulation of a reason to reopen, much less any showing of a need for entry of a stay order. As for the consolidated '367

and '384 cases, defendant McArdle has not yet answered and a preliminary pretrial conference has yet to be set, therefore, there is again nothing to stay at this point. As such, this motion is DENIED as to the '367 and '384 cases. This leaves Case No. 19-cv-057. As Carter is no doubt aware, the court previously ordered Carter to respond to the pending motions for summary judgment in that case by today, May 5, 2020, warning him that without a response, the court would dismiss his claims with prejudice for failure to prosecute after repeatedly extending the time for him to do so. (4/14/20 Order ('057 dkt. #87).) Although the court already substantially reduced the burden on plaintiff by merely requiring that he respond to defendants' proposed findings of fact, which principally concern matters within his personal knowledge, it will briefly delay denial of his motion for recruitment of counsel and dismissal of this case outright in light of the representation in his motion that lingering medical issues have prevented his response, mainly due to two surgeries -- a hernia mesh surgery that took place in late September 2019 and (what the court assumes is) a more recent orthopedic foot surgery. Instead, the court will ask defendants to respond to Carter's motion, and specifically provide a report on his current health status as an alleged basis for a further extension of his response deadlines or a basis for granting a motion for recruitment of counsel. That response is due on or before May 15, 2020. In the meantime, plaintiff would be well advised to put together and file ANY response to defendants' proposed findings of fact to the extent he can.

Entered this 5th day of May, 2020.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

WILLIAM M. CONLEY
District Judge